**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SHRI G.S. SINGHVI**
**AND**
**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.V. SEETHAPATHY**

**Writ Petition No.3218 of 1994**

**Between:**

M/s Nava Bharat Ferro Alloys Ltd.,
Raj Bhavan Road, Hyderabad,
represented by its Executive Director. … Petitioner.

and

Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board,
represented by its Secretary, Vidyut
Soudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.-
and others.

… Respondents

**:ORDER:**

Counsel for the petitioner : Sri C. Kodandaram.

Counsel for respondents : Sri V. Ajay Kumar,
Standing Counsel for
A.P. Transco

**28th August, 2006**

**Per G.S. Singhvi, C.J.**

In this petition, the petitioner has questioned the legality of demand created by Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board, Khammam in letter dated 16.2.1994 towards additional tariff dues.

At the hearing, Sri Kodandaram, learned advocate for the petitioner fairly stated that the petitioner's challenge is liable to be negatived in view of the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in **Nava Bharat Ferro Alloys Limited vs. Andhra Pradesh State Electricity, Board, Hyderabad**[1]**.**

We appreciate the fair stand taken by the learned counsel and dismiss the Writ Petition in terms of the judgment in **Nava Bharat Ferro Alloys Limited vs. Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board, Hyderabad** (supra). Simultaneously, we direct that the petitioner shall deposit the amount due in six equated instalments.

**G.S. SINGHVI, CJ**

**28th August, 2006** **G.V. SEETHAPATHY, J**

**vtv**

[1] 2002(4) ALD 34